

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 10474 OF 2014

Between:

Rasika L Bhalerao, W/o Lavraj J Bhalerao, Aged about 27 years, Occ House wife, R/o 6-3-1238, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Circle-11, Serilingampally.
2. Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Circle-11, Serilingampally.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not issuing the sanctioned plan and permission in so far as the representation of the petitioner is concerned dt- 07-03-2014 regarding his Plot No.13 in Sy.No. 66, 67 and 68 of Gachibowli Village, Serilingampally Mandal, R.R. District.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2014(WPMP. NO: 13153 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to issue the sanction plan and permission fourth with to the petitioner regarding his Plot No.13 in Sy.No. 66, 67 & 68 of Gachibowli Village, Serilingampally Mandal, R.R.District, pending disposal of the above writ petition pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI VENKATESWARLU SANISETTY

Counsel for the Respondents: M/s. Y. PADMAVATHI, (SC FOR GHMC)

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

Writ Petition No.10474 of 2014

ORDER:

On an earlier occasion i.e., on 19.08.2023, as there was no representation for the petitioner(s) the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption for dismissal.

2. Accordingly, today, though the matter has been listed under the caption for dismissal and when the matter has been taken up for hearing in the afternoon session, there is no representation for the petitioner(s). Hence, this Court has no other option except to dismiss the matter for non - prosecution.

3. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed for non prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

**SD/- C. PRAVEEN KUMAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI VENKATESWARLU SANISETTY, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to M/s. Y. PADMAVATHI, SC FOR GHMC [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

BN
GJP



HIGH COURT

DATED:21/08/2023

ORDER

WP.No.10474 of 2014



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS

5
MMA
13/9/2023