

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 474 OF 2017

Contempt case filed under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 to punish the respondent for his willful disobedience of the orders dt. 06.01.2017 passed in WP No. 941 of 2017 of this Hon'ble High Court.

Between:

Solipuram Swapna, D/o. Solipuram Venkatrami Reddy W/o. Naresh Kumar Aged 29 years, Occ Housewife, R/o. Dr. No. 8-3-169/60/240, Indiranagar, Borabanda, Hyderabad.

...Petitioner/Petitioner

AND

Sydulu Goud, Occ: The Tahsildar, Khairatabad Mandal, Hyderabad, Hyderabad District.

...Respondent/Respondent No.2

Counsel for the Petitioner(s): SRI. HANUMANTHA RAO BACHINA, Advocate

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR ASSIGNMENT

The Court made the following **ORDER**:

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO

CONTEMPT CASE No.474 of 2017

ORDER:

This contempt case, under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is filed by the petitioner to punish the respondent for gross violation of the order, dated 06.01.2017 passed by this Court in W.P.No.941 of 2017.

2. Heard Sri B.Hanumanth Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Assignment appearing for the respondent.

3. A perusal of the record shows that this Court while disposing of the W.P.No.941 of 2017 on 06.01.2017 directed the respondent not to dispossess the petitioner from the subject property without following due process of law.

4. The specific allegation of the petitioner is that in spite of the order passed by this Court, the respondent without following any due process of law trying to dispossess the petitioner from the subject property.

5. The respondent/contemnor filed counter on 02.08.2017, wherein it is specifically stated that the subject property is vacant, the same is in possession of the Government and sign boards have been erected. Therefore, the question of dispossession or demolition of the petitioner's house property does not arise. In support of the said averments, the respondent filed photographs along with the counter-affidavit.

6. The petitioner neither filed reply nor denied the averments made in the counter-affidavit filed by the respondent.

7. In view of the specific averments made by the respondent in the counter-affidavit, this Court does not find any merits in the present contempt case.

8. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is closed. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/-CH. VENKATESWARLU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Two CCs to GP for Assignment, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
2. One CC to Sri. Hanumantha Rao Bachina, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD copies.

cvk


MY *pmh*,

HIGH COURT

DATED:29/12/2023

ORDER

CC.No.474 of 2017



CC IS CLOSED.

6 pme.
13/2/24.