

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE P.SREE SUDHA

AS NO: 10 OF 2010

Appeal Under Section 96 of C.P.C against the Judgment and Decree Dated 20/08/2009 made in O.S. No.58 of 2004 on the file of the Court of the Senior Civil Judge, Khammam.

Between:

Nama Vani, W/o. Prabhakar, Housewife, R/o. Papaigudem Village,
Tirumalayapalem Mandal, Khammam District.

...APPELLANT/PLAINTIFF

AND

1. Chirragani Venkateshwarlu, S/o. Yakaiah, Occ: Business, R/o. Komatipally Village, K.Samudram Mandal, Warangal District.
2. Shaik Budem Beig, S/o. Uddandu Saheb, Occ: Business, R/o. H.No.11-11-120/8, NSP Camp, Khammam.
3. Pannala Krishna Murthy, S/o. Vijayarama Sastry, Occ: Associate Professor, R/o. H.No. 4-6/C, Near Bommajagaiah Rice Mill, Dhamsalapuram, Mustafa Nagar, Bonakal Road Khammam.

...RESPONDENTS/DEFENDANTS

ASMP. NO: 22 OF 2010

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to alienate or create any third party interest on the property bearing No. 10-3-234 with vacant site measuring 224 sq.yards bounded by East: house of the Budigam Mattaiah, West: Municipal Raod, North: House of A.Veerabhadram, South: Government Primary School, situated at Mamidlagudem, Khammam Town, Khammam District.

Counsel for the Appellant: SRI. V. RAGHU (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondents: NON APPEARED

The Court made the following: JUDGMENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE P. SREE SUDHA

APPEAL SUIT NO. 10 OF 2010

JUDGMENT:

Appellant/Plaintiff filed O.S.No.58 of 2004 on the file of Senior Civil Judge, Khammam for recovery of money and the same was dismissed, against which plaintiff therein preferred the present Appeal Suit before this Court.


2. A perusal of the docket proceedings shows that the learned counsel for the appellant did not evince any interest to pursue the present appeal proceedings. On 19.08.2023 there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the appellant and the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal'. Even today also, there is no representation for the appellant. No purpose would be served in continuing the Appeal Suit.

3. Accordingly, the Appeal Suit is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- M. RAMANA KRISHNA
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Senior Civil Judge, Khammam (With Records)
2. One CC to Sri. V. RAGHU, Advocate [OPUC].
3. **Two CD Copies**



HIGH COURT

DATED: 15/09/2023

JUDGMENT

AS.No.10 of 2010



DISMISSING THE AS NON PROSECUTION WITHOUT COSTS

⑤ VLV
15/12/23