

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**

**TUESDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI  
AND  
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI**

**CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1202 OF 2023**

Contempt Case filed Under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondent for wilful violation of the Orders of this Hon'ble Court dated 28.04.2022 passed in I.A.No.1 of 2022 in W.A.No.621 of 2021.

**Between:**

T. Karuna, D/o. Sudhakar Aged 42 years, Occ.TGT Science (TMREIS) R/o. Plot NO.238, Adarsh Nagara, Opp.UMCC Hospital, Gajularamaram Qutbullapur, Hyderabad -500055

**...CONTEMNOR/APPELLANT**

**AND**

Smt. Anitha Ramachandran IAS, Secretary Telangana State Public Service Commission Hyderabad.

**...RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT No.2**

**Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri M. SRIKANTH**

**Counsel for the Respondent : Sri M. RAMGOPAL RAO (SC FOR TSPSC)**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**THE HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI  
AND  
THE HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI**

**CONTEMPT CASE No.1202 OF 2023**

**ORDER:** (Per AKS,J)

This Contempt Case, under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is filed by the petitioner seeking to punish the respondent for willful violation of the order, dated 28.04.2022, passed by this Court in I.A.No.1 of 2022 in W.A.No.621 of 2021.

2. Heard Sri M. Srikanth, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M. Ram Gopal Rao, learned Standing Counsel for Telangana State Public Service Commission, appearing for the respondent.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent has informed the Court that in terms of the order, dated 28.04.2022, passed by this Court in I.A.No.1 of 2022 in W.A.No.621 of 2021, the case of the petitioner was considered and rejected *vide* Memo, dated 26.07.2022.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner had contended that the rejection order is not in consonance with the order passed by this Court. The respondent has not considered the case of the petitioner in terms of the Rules relating to Telangana Tribal Welfare Residential Educational Institutions Society (Gurukulam). This Court has

specifically directed the respondent to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of the said Rules.


5. This Court, having considered the rival submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, is of the considered view that the respondent has considered the case of the petitioner and rejected the same *vide* Memo, dated 26.07.2022. Therefore, this Court is of the view that the order, dated 28.04.2022, passed by this Court has been complied with.

6. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is closed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to challenge the Memo, dated 26.07.2022, in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Contempt Case shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- T. SRINIVAS  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri M. SRIKANTH, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri M. RAMGOPAL RAO (SC FOR TSPSC), Advocate [OPUC]
3. **Two CD Copies**

Njb



HIGH COURT

DATED:14/11/2023

ORDER

CC.No.1202 of 2023



CLOSING THE CONTEMPT CASE

5  
14/12/23  
JNV