

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

M.A.C.M.A.NO: 781 OF 2008

(Appeal Under Section 173 of M.V.Act, against the Judgment / Decree in MVOP.No.545 of 2004, dated 05-10-2007 on the file of the Court of the Chairman Motor Accident Claims Tribunal – Cum – V Additional District, Nizamabad.)

Between:

Shaik Shoukath, S/o.Shaik Mustafa, aged 40 years, Occ : Vegetable and Fruits Business, R/o.Shanthinagar, Nizamabad.

...APPELLANT

AND

1. Shakeeb Ahmed, S/o.Mohd Abdul Qayyum, Aged Major Owner of Auto Rickshaw No.AP 25 U 5412 R/o.H.No.1-6-113, Phulong, Nizamabad.
2. New India Assurance Company Limited, rep by its Divisional Manager O/o.Divisional Office: Malakpet Branch, 16-2-677/2, 1st Floor, Tirumala Towers, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellant : SRI.Y.S.YELLANAND GUPTA

Counsel for the Respondents : M/s.KALPANA EKBOTE

The Court made the following judgment : -

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

M.A.C.M.A.No.781 of 2008

JUDGMENT:

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 11.01.2023, there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned counsel for the respondents and posted to 24.02.2023. On 24.02.2023 there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the appellant and learned counsel for the respondents present and requested time and posted to 10.03.2023.

2. On 10.03.2023 also there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned counsel for the respondents. Hence, as a final opportunity to the appellant as well as learned counsel for the respondents, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption "for dismissal" on 24.03.2023.

Inspite of listing the matter under the caption "for dismissal", there was no representation on behalf of the

learned counsel for the appellant and learned counsel for the respondents. Therefore, it appears that the appellant and respondents have no interest in prosecuting this case.

4. Accordingly, the M.A.C.M.A. is dismissed for default. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this appeal shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-V.KAVITHA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

GP
SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal – Cum – V Additional District Judge at Nizamabad.
2. One CC to SRI.Y.S.YELLANAND GUPTA, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to M/s.KALPANA EKBOTE, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

SA




HIGH COURT

DATED:24/03/2023

JUDGMENT

MACMA.No.781 of 2008



**M.A.C.M.A IS DISMISSED
FOR DEFAULT WITHOUT COSTS.**

6
mbc
S/b