

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 949 OF 2017

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 to punish the Respondent herein for violating the orders dated 06/11/2015 in W.P.No. 36314 of 2015 of the Honble High Court

Between:

1. Kayyam Venkataiah, S/o Lasmaiah Aged 72 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o Mulkanuru (V) Chigurumamidi (M), Karimnagar District
2. Kayyam Mallaiah, S/o Lasmaiah Aged 70 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o Mulkanuru (V) Chigurumamidi (M), Karimnagar District
3. Kayyam Muthaiah, S/o Venkataiah Aged 67 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o Mulkanuru (V) Chigurumamidi (M), Karimnagar District
4. Kayyam Srinivas, S/o. Mallaiah Aged 45 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o Mulkanuru (V) Chigurumamidi (M), Karimnagar District

...PETITIONER/CONTEMPT PETITIONERS

AND

Sri G. Ramesh, Tahsildar Chigurumamidi (M), At Chigurumamidi Karimnagar District

...RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT

**Counsel for the Petitioner(s):SRI. KOWTURU PAVAN KUMAR, Advocate
:SRI. A. Srinivas Rohith**

Counsel for the Respondent:Assistant GP FOR REVENUE (TG)

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO

CONTEMPT CASE No.949 of 2017

ORDER:

This contempt case, under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is filed by the petitioners to punish the respondent for gross violation of the order, dated 06.11.2015 passed by this Court in W.P.No.36314 of 2015.

2. Heard Sri A.Srinivas Rohit, learned counsel representing Sri Kowturu Pavan Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent.

3. A perusal of the record shows that this Court while disposing of W.P.No.36314 of 2015 on 06.11.2015 directed respondent No.2 to dispose of the appeal filed by the petitioners, questioning the eviction order passed by respondent No.3 on 13.10.2015, within a period of one week and till such time, the eviction order passed by respondent No.3 shall not be enforced. In spite of the specific direction issued by this Court, the respondent/contemnor interfered with the subject property.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent/contemnor filed counter-affidavit stating that the appellate authority/Revenue Divisional Officer, Karimnagar disposed of the said appeal on 29.08.2017 confirming the order of respondent No.3 in the writ petition. Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, the respondent is not dispossessing the petitioners from the subject property till the disposal of the appeal. He further stated that he has not violated the orders of this Court and he rendered unconditional apology for disconnection of power supply to the agricultural wells by the electricity authorities.

5. In view of the specific averments made in para 4 of the counter-affidavit, no further adjudication is required in the present contempt case.

6. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is closed. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/-B. SATYAVATHI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE (TG) ,High Court for the State of Telangana.
[OUT]
2. One CC to SRI. KOWTURU PAVAN KUMAR Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD copies.

cvk
MY



HIGH COURT

DATED:29/12/2023



ORDER

CC.No.949 of 2017

CC IS CLOSED.

⑥ 20/2/24