

[3167]

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 1865 OF 2011

Between:

Md. Muneeruddin, S/o. Chand, aged 40 years, Driver E. 319985,
Godavarikhani, Karimnagar District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Vice Chairman and Managing Director, APSRTC, Musheerabad, Hyderabad.
2. The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Metpally Depot, Metpally, Karimnagar District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order more in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the office order No.T1/02(01)/97-DM-MTPL, dated 31.03.1997 passed by 2nd respondent herein in imposing major punishment of deferment of petitioner annual increment for a period of two (02) years which shall have effect on future increments without conducting departmental enquiry and issuing show cause notice as illegal, arbitrary and violation of principles of natural justice and set aside the same and further direct the respondents to modify the punishment as without effect on future increments with the benefit of fixation of pay, but without any arrears or monetary benefits.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP. NO: 2284 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the office order dated 31.03.1997 passed by 2nd respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. S.V. INDIRA (NOT RPRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI C SUNIL KUMAR REDDY

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.ABHISHEK REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.1866 of 2011

ORDER:

There was no representation for the petitioner or his learned counsel when this matter was taken up for hearing on 25.01.2023. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption "For Orders" on 30.01.2023. Accordingly, the matter was listed under the caption "For Orders" on 30.01.2023. However, when the matter was called, there was no representation for the petitioner or his learned counsel. Hence, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption "For Dismissal" on 31.01.2023.

Today, though the matter appears under the caption 'for Dismissal', there is no representation, yet again, for the petitioner or his learned counsel when it is taken up for hearing.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, shall also stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-MOHD SANAULLAH ANSARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

- To,
1. One CC to M/S. S.V. INDIRA Advocate [OPUC]
 2. One CC to SRI. C. SUNIL KUMAR REDDY, SC FOR APSRTC [OPUC]
 3. Two CD Copies

CHR
BS

HIGH COURT

DATED:31/01/2023

ORDER

W.P.No.1866 of 2011



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS

CS

5 copies

MA
17/2/23