

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**MONDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 398 OF 2014

Criminal Revision Case under Section 397 & 401 of Cr.P.C. against the Order dated 25.11.2013 made in CrI.MP.NO.05 of 2013 in MC.No.27 of 2011 on the file of the Court of the Family court-cum-VII Additional District and Sessions Judge, Medak at Sanga Reddy.

Between:

T.Narsing, S/o. T.Krishna, Occ: Private Employee, R/o. H.No.29-705, Vinayak Nagar, Neredmet, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad.

...Petitioner/Respondent

AND

1. T.Sandhya Rani, W/o. T.Narsing, aged 23 years, R/o. Near Mahila Pranganam, Sanga Reddy Town, Medak District.
2. The State of A.P., Rep., by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of ~~A.P.~~, at Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2014(CRLRCMP. NO: 682 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation of the order in CrI.MP.No.5 of 2013 in MC.No.27 of 2011 dt.25.11.2013 on the file of the Court of the Judge, Family court-cum-VII Additional District & Sessions Judge, Medak at Sangareddy.

Counsel for the Petitioner(s): SRI MAHADEV ANYARAMBHATLA

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : SRI VENKAT RATHNAM THANNEERU

**Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : SRI VIZARATH ALI ASSISTANT PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR (TG)**

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E. V. VENUGOPAL**CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.398 OF 2014****ORDER:**

The present Criminal Revision Case is filed aggrieved by the Order passed in CrI.M.P.No.5 of 2013 in M.C.No.27 of 2011, dated 25.11.2013 on the file of the learned Family Court-Cum-VII Additional District & Sessions Judge, Medak at Sanga Reddy.

2. Heard Sri Sundaresh, learned counsel representing on behalf of Sri Mahadev Anyarambhatla, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent No.2-State.

3. A perusal of the record shows that the Revision pertains to the year 2014 and the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to set aside the Order passed by the Court below stating that, the petitioner does not have any sufficient means to maintain his family members.

4. This Court upon careful consideration of the entire material on record and after hearing the submissions made by both the learned counsel is of the view that the maintenance awarded by the Court below is very meagre and appropriate. Challenging

such a meagre amount is not permissible at this stage as the cost of living standards of the people has been escalated. Hence, I do not find any reason to interfere with the order passed by the Court below. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain the Revision Case as the same is devoid of merits.

5. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-B.SATYAVATHI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Judge, Family Court-cum- VII Additional District & Sessions Judge, Medak at Sangareddy.
2. One CC to SRI. MAHADEV ANYARAMBHATLA Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. VENKAT RATHNAM THANNEERU Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.(OUT)
5. Two CD Copies.

YJP

\$

HIGH COURT

EVV,J

DATED:24/07/2023



ORDER

CRLRC.No.398 of 2014

DISMISSING THE CRL.RC

(8) Copies
Sm
14/9/23