

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE M.G.PRIYADARSINI

APPEAL SUIT NO: 2654 OF 2004

Appeal Under Section 96 of C.P.C., against the Judgement and decree dated 14-12-1998 made in O.S.No.181 of 1987 on the file of the Court of the Fourth Senior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.

Between:

1. G. Bagi Reddy, S/o. Late Ranga Reddy, aged 54 years, Occ : Agriculture, R/o.H.No.1-1-287/11/14, Chikkadapally, Hyderabad.
2. D. Balwanth Reddy, S/o. Late Rangareddy, aged 47 years, Occ : Employee in Charminar Company, R/o.H.No.1-1-287 / 11/14, Chikkadapally, Hyderabad.

...APPELLANTS/DEFENDANT

AND

1. V. Balamani, D/o. Lingareddy & Wife of Narayana Reddy, aged 50 years, Occ: Household, R/o. 22-72, Neredmet, Tahsil Malkajgiri, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF

2. G.Chandramma, W/o. Late Rangareddy, R/o. 1-1-287/11/14, Chikkadpally, Hyderabad.
3. G. Jangamma, W/o. Pentaiah, R/o. Malkajgiri, Hyderabad.
4. Parvatamma, W/o. Narasimha Reddy, R/o. Mailaram, T. Bhongeer.
5. D.Laxmi W Laxamma, W/o.D.Linga Reddy, aged 54 years, Occ : House Wife, R/o.H.No.1-1-287/35, Chikkadpally, Hyderabad.

(2 to 4 are not necessary parties to this appeal)

(Respondent No.5 impleaded as party Respondent vide Court Order dated 28-7-2004 in CMP.No.7553/04)

.....DEFENDANTS 3 to 5 in SUIT

ASMP.NO.11909 OF 2004)

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the passing of the final decree in O.S.No.181 of 1987 on the file of the Hon'ble IV Senior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.

Counsel for the Appellants : SRI.Y.ASHOK RAJ (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : SRI.POTTIGARI SRIDHAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : SRI.V.TULASI REDDY

The Court made the following Judgment : -

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE M.G.PRIYADARSINI

APPEAL SUIT No.2654 of 2004

JUDGMENT:

Since there was no representation on behalf of appellants on the earlier occasion, the matter, which pertains to 2004, was directed to be listed today under the caption 'For Dismissal'. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of appellants. It is presumed that the appellants are not interested to proceed with the appeal.

Hence, the Appeal Suit stands dismissed for non-prosecution.
No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending shall stand dismissed.

**Sd/-M.MANJULA
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The IV Senior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad. (With Records).
2. One CC to SRI.Y.ASHOK RAJ, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI.POTTIGARI SRIDHAR REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI.V.TULASI REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

SA


8

HIGH COURT

DATED:23/03/2023

JUDGMENT

AS.No.2654 of 2004



DISMISSING THE APPEAL SUIT

FOR NON – PROSECUTION WITHOUT COSTS.

⑦ Copies

Sm
8/6/23