

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE M.LAXMAN

APPEAL SUIT NO: 2920 OF 2000

Appeal under section 96 of C.P.C against the Judgment and Decree Dated 29-9-2000 made in O.S.No.99 of 1996 on the file of the Court of the I Additional Senior Civil Judge, Warangal.

Between:

Mohd. Parvez, S/o Mohd. Mahmood Ali Business R/o 13-3-139, Phataq Street, Warangal

...APPELLANT/PLAINTIFF - 2

AND

1. A.P. State Electricity Board, rep. by Superintending Engineer Warangal
2. A.P. State Electricity Board, rep. by Divisional Engineer Electrical Distribution, Warangal
3. A.P. State Electricity Board, rep. by Assistant Engineer Distribution, Station Road, Warangal
4. Assistant Accounts Officer, Electricity Revenue Officer APSEB Papaiahpet Chaman, Warangal

...RESPONDENTS/DEFENDANTS

5. G. Neel Kumar, S/o Vijaya Kumar R/o 14/534/1, Peddavangara (V), Wardhannapeta (M), Warangal District

...RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF -1

CMP. NO: 20833 OF 2000

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the Judgment and Decree of the Court of Ist Addl. Senior Civil Judge, Warangal dated 29-9-2000 passed in O.S.No.99 of 1996 in so far it directs the petitioner to deposit the amounts as indicated, pending disposal of the above appeal.

Counsel for the Appellant : SRI. T SRIKANTH REDDY

**Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 4 : SRI. ZAKIR ALI DANISH
(SC FOR T.S.E.B)**

The Court delivered the following: JUDGMENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M. LAXMAN

APPEAL SUIT NO.2920 OF 2000

JUDGMENT:

1. In spite of granting several adjournments, on 05.09.2023 learned counsel for the appellant report not ready and the matter is posted to today under the caption for dismissal. In spite of the appeal is listed under the caption for dismissal; today also seeks time to advance the arguments.

2. The suit is filed by the appellant challenging the assessment notice dated 09.01.1996 for consumption of electricity charges and the same was dismissed. No stay is granted by this Court; perhaps the recovery must have been done.

3. Accordingly, the Appeal Suit is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs. Miscellaneous petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/- B SATYAVATHI,
DEPUTY REGISTRAR.**


//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The I Additional Senior Civil Judge, Warangal. (with records, if any)
2. One CC to SRI. T SRIKANTH REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. ZAKIR ALI DANISH, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies


ADK



DATED:12/09/2023

JUDGMENT

AS.No.2920 of 2000



DISMISSING THE APPEAL SUIT FOR NON PROSECUTION WITHOUT COSTS

6

~~Handwritten signature~~
~~08/11/2024~~