

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**FRIDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 33780 OF 2013**

**Between**

**C.Vijay Kumar Reddi, S/o Late C.Krishna Reddi,, R/o Flat No.407, Srinivasa  
Towers, Begumpet, Hyderabad-16.**

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

- 1. The State of A.P., rep. by its Principal Secretary,, Municipal Administration and Urban Development, A.P.Secretariat, Hyderabad.**
- 2. The Commissioner, GHMC, Hyderabad., -**
- 3. The Collector & District Magistrate,, Hyderabad District, Hyderabad.**
- 4. The Managing Director,, Hyderabad Metro Rail Limited, Saifabad, Hyderabad.**
- 5. The Spl. Grade Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition,, Hyderabad Metro Rail Limited, IV Floor, GHMC Building, Hyderabad.**

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus to declaring the issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the 2nd respondent and other respondents in not responding or taking action pursuant to the petitioners letter dated 20- 11-2000 and 30-10-2013 as being illegal, arbitrary and in violation of Article 14, 19(1)(g), 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and thereby grant monetary compensation to the petitioner at the prevailing market value as on the date of acquisition along with interest at the rate of 24 % (percent) from the date of acquisition till the date of payment with regard to the acquisition of the petitioners

land admeasuring 134.11sq.yards by the 2nd respondent in petitioners land in Door No.6-2-953/A, Khairatabad Village and Mandal, Hyderabad for the purpose of road widening to 100ft. from Niramkari Bhavan to Vishweshwaraiah Statue and thereby direct the respondents in particularly the 2nd respondent to consider the request of the petitioner. In the alternative, it is respectfully prayed that the petitioner may be given Additional FSI/TDR in the event the court were to reach a conclusion that the monetary compensation.

**I.A. NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP. NO: 42030 OF 2013)**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to hold that the continued inaction of the 2nd respondent and other respondents in not responding or taking action pursuant to the petitioner's letter dated 20-11-2000 and 30-10-2013 as being illegal, arbitrary and in violation of Article 14, 19(1)(g), 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and thereby direct the 2nd respondent and other respondents to immediately consider the letter of the petitioner dated 30-10-2013 to grant monetary compensation at the prevailing market value as on the date of acquisition along with interest at the rate of 24 % (percent) for the acquisition of 134.11sq.yards as regards the acquisition of the petitioner's land located at Door No. 6-2-953/A at Khairatabad Village and Mandal, Hyderabad District and in the event of the 2nd respondent having any doubt with regard to the exact amount of acquired land of the petitioner's premises, the respondents may be directed to survey the land so acquired in the above mentioned property of the petitioner in the presence of the petitioner and thereafter grant monetary compensation at the prevailing market value as on the date of acquisition along with interest at the rate of 24 % (percent) for the acquisition of 134.11sq.yards to the petitioner and pass such and pass such other order or orders, failing which the petitioner will suffer irreparable harm and injury since the continued denial of monetary compensation with regard to the land acquired of the petitioner's constitutes violation of the petitioner's right under Article 14, 19(1)(g) and 21 and 300A of Constitution of India. In the alternative, it is respectfully prayed that the petitioner may be given Additional FSI/TDR in the event the court were to reach a conclusion that the

monetary compensation may not be possible pending disposal of the above writ petition

**Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI K V RAMAN REP. SRI. B MAYUR REDDY**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION  
AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : SRI SAMPATH PRABHAKAR REDDY  
SC FOR GHMC**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.3: GP FOR LAND ACQUISITION**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.4 : SRI D NARSIMULU**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : THE ADVOCATE GENERAL**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR**

**WRIT PETITION No.33780 OF 2013**

**ORDER:**

It is represented by Sri K. V. Raman, learned counsel representing Sri B. Mayur Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, that the relief sought in this Writ Petition has become infructuous.

In view of the same, this Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/- G. SIREESHA  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**SECTION OFFICER**

**To,**

1. One CC to SRI. B MAYUR REDDY, Advocate.[OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR LAND ACQUISITION, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. One CC to SRI. D NARSIMULU, Advocate.[OPUC]
4. Two CCs to THE ADVOCATE GENERAL, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.[OUT]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.[OUT]
6. One CC to SRI SAMPATH PRABHAKAR REDDY, S C FOR GHMC[OPUC]
7. Two CD Copies

**SB**

**GJP**

*Pme.*

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:04/08/2023**

**ORDER**

**WP.No.33780 of 2013**



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION  
AS INFRACTUOUS  
WITHOUT COSTS**

(12) pmc,  
17/8/23.