

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN**

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 702 OF 2008

Criminal Revision Case under Sections 397 & 401 of Cr.P.C against the Judgment made in CrI.MP No.810/2008 in CC No.265/1999 on the file of the Court of the Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad dated 11/03/2008.

Between:

Syed Ahmed Khader Quadri, S/o Syed Azam Peer Quadri, Hakeem, R/o Vattepally, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER/ PETITIONER/ COMPLAINANT

AND

1. Abdullah Bin Awad, S/o Awad Bin Abdullah, Business, R/o Pahadishareef, Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/ Respondent/ Accused

2. The State of Andhra Pradesh,, rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P., at Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT

CRLRCMP. NO: 971 OF 2008

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of grounds of Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in CC.No. 265 of 1999 on the file of the Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.

For the Petitioner : SRI A.RAVI SHANKAR, Advocate (not present)

For the Respondent No.2 : SRI KHAZA VIZARATH ALI, ASSISTANT PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

For the Respondent No.1 : NONE APPEARED

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.702 of 2008

O R D E R:

The Criminal Revision Case is filed aggrieved by the Order dated 11.03.2008 in CrI.M.P.No.810 of 2008 in C.C. No.265 of 1999 on the file of Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Hyderabad, wherein an application was filed by the revision petitioner under Section 319(1)(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure for impleading the proposed accused and to issue summons to the proposed accused, was dismissed.

02. No representation on behalf of the petitioner as well as respondent No.1. Sri Khaja Vizarath Ali, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for the Respondent No.2/State is present.

03. Even on earlier occasion also, there was no representation from the petitioner. Even today, when the matter is posted under the caption "for dismissal", there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner and it appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case.

04. In view of the above, this Criminal Revision Case is liable to be dismissed.

05. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed for non-prosecution.

As a sequel, pending Miscellaneous Applications, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-I.NAGA LAKSHMI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Hyderabad.
2. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad (OUT)
3. One CC to Sri Ravi Shankar, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

Kj.




HIGH COURT

DATED:24/01/2023

ORDER

CRLRC.No.702 of 2008



CRL.R.C. IS DISMISED FOR NON-PROSECUTION.

⑦ VLV
27/2/23