

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 12370 OF 2016

Between:

V.Ramchandra, S/o. Vislavath Hanmiya Naik Aged 29 Years, Occu Conductor
(Under Removal) R/o.D.No2-2-1103/19/5, Sanjeeva Nagar, Golnaka Amberpet,
Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation, Rep.By its Chairman and Managing Director, Hyderabad
2. The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Kachiguda Depot, Hyderabad
3. The Divisional Manager, APSRTC, Charminar Division, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ declaring the procedure adopted by the Respondent No.3 in conducting the departmental enquiry suffers from serious discrimination, bias and in gross violation of Article 311(2) of the constitution of India and to declare the action of Respondent No.2 in issuing the Removal Order Vide Proceedings No.P1/136(1)/2015-KCG, Dated 12.02.2016 basing on the enquiry report given by Respondent No.3 as illegal, arbitrary and violation of Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and to set aside the same and consequently direct the respondents herein to reinstate the petitioner in to the service with all consequential benefits including the continuity of service and grant such other relief as it deems fit in the circumstances of the case.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP. NO: 15506 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.2 to reinstate the petitioner in to service immediately by suspending the operation of the Removal Proceedings No.P1/136(1)/ 2015-KCG, Dated 12.02.2016, pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deems fit just and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI KRANTHI SRI VAKA

Counsel for the Respondents: THOOM SRINIVAS (SC FOR TSRTC)

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION No.12370 of 2016

ORDER:

When the matter was called on 29.09.2023, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. To give one opportunity, the matter was posted to 16.10.2023 under the caption for "final hearing". On 16.10.2023, also there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner either in the physical mode or virtual mode. Hence, this Court directed to list the matter on 18.10.2023 under the caption "for dismissal". Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, either in the physical mode or virtual mode, though the matter is listed under the caption 'for dismissal'. It appears that the petitioner is not having any interest to prosecute the case.

2. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.


//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K. AMMAJI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
GB
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI KRANTHI SRI VAKA, Advocate. [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI THOOM SRINIVAS, (SC FOR TSRTC). [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies.

BSK
GJP



HIGH COURT

DATED:18/10/2023

ORDER

WP.No.12370 of 2016



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS**

5
HMA
02/11/2023