

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

AND

THE HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI

L.P.A.No: 5 of 2012

Letter Patent Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letter Patent against the Judgment/decreed passed in CC.No.1500 of 2011, dated 13-04-2012, on the file of the High Court.

Between:

Sri Peddamma Temple, Endowments Department, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad. Rep. by Executive Officer.

...Petitioner/Appellant

AND

1. E.Padmaja, W/o. Sri E.Bhaskar Rao, aged about 45 years, Occ:Business, R/o. Hyderabad. **...Respondent/Petitioner**
2. State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government, Department of Municipal Administration and Urban Development, Secretariat Buildings, Saifabad, Hyderabad.
3. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
4. The Jubilee Hills, Co-operative House Building Society Ltd., Rep. by its Secretary, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.
5. The Commissioner of Endowments, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Boggulakunta, Abids, Hyderabad.

(Respondent Nos. 4 & 5 are not necessary party to this appeal)

...Respondents/Respondents

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2012(LPAMP. NO: 20 OF 2012)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the order dated 13-04-2012 made in Contempt Case No. 1500 of 2011 in so far as it directs the demolition of arch constructed by the petitioner temple.

Counsel for the Appellant: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Mr. P.S. RAJASEKHAR

Counsel for the Respondents No.2 & 3: None Appeared

The Court delivered the following: JUDGMENT

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

AND

THE HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI

L.P.A.No.5 of 2012

JUDGMENT: *(Per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice Alok Aradhe)*

Mr. P.S.Rajasekhar, learned counsel for respondent No.1.

2. This appeal has been filed against an interim order dated 13.04.2012 passed by learned Single Judge in C.C.No.1500 of 2011.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that subsequently, the contempt petition itself has been decided on 22.07.2013.

4. In view of aforesaid submission, nothing survives for adjudication in this Letter Patent Appeal. The same is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

—

::2::

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/- K.SRINIVASA RAO
JOINT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. Two CCs to GP FOR ENDOWMENTS, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.(OUT)
2. One CC to SRI P.S. RAJASEKHAR, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

kam

HIGH COURT

**HCJ &
JAK, J**

DATED:24/11/2023



JUDGMENT

LPA.No.5 of 2012

**THE APPEAL IS DISMISSED
AS INFRUCTUOUS**

⑥ VLV
8/12/23