

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 21478 OF 2016

Between:

G. Narender, S/o. Rajaiah, Aged about 35 years, Conductor, Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, Ranigunj-I Bus Depot, Secunderabad, Telangana State.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, Represented by its Managing Director, Bus Bhavan, Musheerabad, Hyderabad, Telangana State.
2. The Regional Manger, Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, Secunderabad Region, JBS, Picket, Secunderabad, Telangana State.
3. The Depot Manager, Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, Ranigunj-I Bus Depot, Secunderabad, Telangana State.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the respondent No.2 in not ordering re-engagement with continuity of service of the petitioner as Contract Conductor is bad, arbitrary, illegal, unjust, unreasonable as the termination of the petitioner is against to procedure and violation of respondent corporation circulars and consequently direct the respondents to treat 2nd respondent proceedings, dt. 31-05-2014 as reinstatement of the petitioner as Conductor with continuity of service, attendant benefits and with all consequential service benefits including effecting the date of regularization.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP. NO: 26368 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner for regularization of his services by treating the respondent No.2 proceedings, dt. 31-05-2014 as re-engagement with continuity of service with all consequential service benefits including effecting the date of regularization.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI P.VENKATESWAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI THOOM SRINIVAS, SC FOR TSRTC

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 21478 of 2016

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for consideration, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as respondents submits that the issue raised in this Writ Petition is squarely covered by the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in W.A.No.183 of 2022, dated 15.03.2022.

2. In view of the principles laid down in the above said judgment, the petitioner is not entitled for the relief sought in this Writ Petition.

3. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- V.KAVITHA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI P.VENKATESWAR RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI THOOM SRINIVAS, SC FOR TSRTC [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies


BSR

GJ

Amg.

HIGH COURT

DATED: 19/10/2023



ORDER

WP.No.21478 of 2016

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION,
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑤ pma,
2/11/23.