

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**THURSDAY ,THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1608 OF 2009

Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C aggrieved against the orders dated 31.08.2009 passed in C.C. No.182 of 2009, on the file of the Court of the XV Additional Judge-cum-XIX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.

Between:

1. Armour Pharmaceutical Ltd., rep. by its Managing Director, Registered office at Plot No.42, Anrich Industrial Estate, Bollaram, Medak district.
2. T. Ravindranath Tagore, aged 42 years, Occ: Managing Director, Armour Pharmaceutical Ltd., & Partner of Trak Biotechnologies, R/o Plot No. 33, H.No.8-3-167/D/33, Kalyan Nagar, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED

AND

1. Expert Detectives & Security Services, rep. by its Chief Executive / Proprietor, Sri K. Narasimha Reddy, Registered Office at 4-32-132, Shapur Nagar, I.D.A. Jeedimetla, R.R.District.
2. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P., at Hyderabad

...COMPLAINANT/RESPONDENTS

CRLRCMP. NO: 2231 OF 2009

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings pursuant to the orders of the XV Addl. Judge -cum- XIX Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Hyderabad, passed in CC.No. 182 of 2009, dt. 31-8-2009, pending disposal of the above CrI.R.C.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri I. GOPAL REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : M/s. HARIJA AKKINENI

**Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri MUTHYALA MURALIDHAR
(ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR)**

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.1608 of 2009

ORDER:

This Criminal Revision Case is filed by the petitioner under Sections 397 and 401 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, aggrieved by the Orders dated 31.08.2009 passed in C.C.No.182 of 2009 by the learned XV Additional Judge-cum-XIX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.

02. When the matter has been taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner, on record, submitted that this matter has become infructuous.

03. In view of the above submission, this Criminal Revision Case is liable to be dismissed as infructuous.

04. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed as infructuous. There is no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending Miscellaneous Applications, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- I. NAGA LAKSHMI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//



SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XV Additional Judge-cum-XIX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.
2. One CC to Sri I. GOPAL REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to M/s. HARIJA AKKINENI, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. (OUT)
5. Two CD Copies

HIGH COURT
DATED:16/02/2023

ORDER
CRLRC.No.1608 of 2009



DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL REVISION CASE

AS INFRUCTUOUS.

⑧ Copies
Sm
17/3/23