

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

CONTEMPT CASE No: 151 OF 2023

Contempt Case under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 to punish the respondents for their willful, blatant and utter violation of the orders passed by this Court in WP No. 38799 of 2022, dated 20-10-2022.

Between:

G. Kashanna, S/o. G. Lakshmaiah, Aged. 53 years, Occ. Business, R/o. D.No. 1-1-004, Padmavathi Colony Badepalli Village, Jadecherla Mandal, Mahaboobnagar District.

...Petitioner

AND

Tejas Nandlal Pawar, S/o. Not Known, Major, Occ. Additional Collector, Mahaboobnagar, Mahaboobnagar District.

...Contemnors/Respondent 3

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI R. SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent: SRI M. RAM MOHAN REDDY

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

CONTEMPT CASE No.151 of 2023

ORDER:

This Court in W.P.No.38799 of 2022 by order dated 20.10.2022 has recorded the submission of the learned Standing Counsel that the respondent Municipality has already addressed a letter dated 26.05.2022 to the Additional Collector and also the recorded the submission of Government Pleader for Revenue that that they will take further action as per the letter addressed by the Commissioner dated 26.05.2022 and disposed of the writ petition directing the 3rd respondent to take appropriate steps pursuant to the letter dated 26.05.2022 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

2. Sri R.Sujan Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the respondents failed to implement the orders passed by this Court in spite of the repeated requests made by him.

3. Sri M.Ram Mohan Reddy, learned standing counsel for the respondent, submits that the respondent has implemented the orders of this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner filed additional material papers before this Court, which are panchanama, letter dated 26.05.2022, proceedings dated 22.07.2022, which are obtained under Right to Information Act and the letter of approval for commencement of work dated 02.12.2021. According to the petitioner, the orders passed by this Court are not implemented by the respondents.

5. Learned Standing Counsel has placed before this Court the photographs and the proceedings of the Jadcherla Municipality to the Additional Collector, Mahabubnagar, dated 16.02.2023, wherein it is stated that as the Enforcement Team letter dated 16.02.2023, the enforcement team members of the Jadcherla Municipality has conducted the panchanama and dismantled the deviated portion of the construction. Learned counsel submits that the respondents have implemented the orders of this Court.

6. Looking at the photographs filed before this Court and the proceedings dated 16.02.2023, it appears that the orders passed by this Court are complied with. If there is any subsequent cause of action for the petitioner, he is at liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings.

7. Accordingly, the contempt case is closed. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- T. KRISHNA KUMAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. R. SUJAN KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI. M. RAM MOHAN REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

kam




HIGH COURT

DATED:06/03/2023

ORDER

CC.No.151 of 2023



**THE CONTEMPT CASE
IS CLOSED**

⑤ VLW
29/8/23