

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF MARCH  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR**

**WRIT PETITION (TR) NO: 5960 OF 2017**

**Between:**

R.Sujatha Devi, W/o R.Sadasiva Goud (Late), Aged about 45 years, working as Junior Assistant, O/o Regional Transport Officer, Nizamabad, R/o H.No.6-2-448, Sub ash Nagar, Nizamabad.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. The Joint Transport Commissioner/Secretary RTA, Zone-VI, Hyderabad.
2. The Transport Commissioner, State of Telangana, Hyderabad.
3. The State of Telangana, rep., by its Principal Secretary, Transport, Roads & Buildings Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to declare the action of the Respondents in not considering the case of the Applicant for promotion to the post of Senior Assistant on the ground of pendency of disciplinary proceedings vide charge Memo.No.1116/V3/2011-7 dt.19.11.2011 issued by the 2nd respondent as illegal, arbitrary, violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India and consequently quash/ set-aside the charge Memo.No.1116/V3/20 11-7 dt.19.11.20 11 issued by the 2nd respondent and further direct the Respondents to promote the applicant as Senior Assistant, without reference to the disciplinary proceedings / ACB case pending against him, strictly as per his seniority and eligibility.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI POODATTU AMARENDER  
Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR**

**WRIT PETITION (TR) No.5960 OF 2017**

**ORDER:**

Today when the matter has been taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that due to efflux of time, the cause in the writ petition (TR) would not survive for further adjudication and the learned Government Pleader appearing for respondents has fairly acceded the same.

2. Recording the above submission of the learned counsel appearing on either side, the Writ Petition (TR) is dismissed as infructuous.

3. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

**//TRUE COPY//**

**SD/- K. AMMAJI  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**SECTION OFFICER**

**To**

1. One CC to SRI POODATTU AMARENDER, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

PSK.  
GJP



**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:16/03/2023**

**ORDER**

**WP(TR).No.5960 of 2017**

26 MAY 2023

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION (TR)  
AS INFRACTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS.**

msa  
08/5/2023