

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION NO: 1082 OF 2021

Between:

D. Pradeep Ramakrishna, S/o. Late D. Devi Das Sharma, Aged about 43 years, Occ Administrative Officer, RTA, Hyderabad East Zone, R/o H. No 10-23, Kompally, Medchal, Medchal-Malkajgiri District

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Transport Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The Transport Commissioner, B.R.K. Ambedkar Transport Bhavan, Beside Press Club, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
3. The Joint Commissioner, Transport Department, B.R.K. Ambedkar Transport Bhavan, Beside Press Club, Khairatabad, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to pass any Writ, Order or direction, particularly a Writ in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 2nd Respondent in issuing the impugned Charge Memo dated 10/01/2018 in Charge Memo No. 2687/V1/2017-63, dated 10/01/2018, allegations of irregularities of collection of tax from the individual owners owning more than one vehicle, even when the Committee report dated 07/08/2015 gave a finding that there is a flaw in the software provided by the 1st Respondent and without the Letter No. 2687/V1/2017, dated 28/07/2017 addressed by the 2nd Respondent to the Government and without considering the explanation given by the Petitioner on 08/02/2018, as in a routine mechanical manner, without applying its mind, illegal, null, void, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently quash the Charge Memo No. 2687/V1/2017, dated 10/01/2018 issued by 2nd Respondent

IA NO: 1 OF 2021

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents to consider the case of the Petitioner as was considered in the case of Sri. K. Srikanth, which was considered in pursuance of the orders dated 21/10/2019 of this Hon'ble Court in I.A. No. 1/2018 in W.P. No. 26061/2018

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. CHINNAIAH REP FOR SRI. VIJAY B PAROPAKARI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR TRANSPORT

The Court made the following: ORDER

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V.VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION No.1082 of 2021

ORDER:

Sri Chinnaiah, learned counsel representing Vijay B Paropkari, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication and hence, the writ petition has become infructuous.

2. In view of the said submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

3. Interlocutory applications, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall also stand disposed of.

SD/-P.CH.NAGABHUSHAMBA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

To,

1. Two CCs to GP FOR TRANSPORT, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
2. One CC to SRI. VIJAY B PAROPAKARI Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD copies

B M

BS
Ber.

HIGH COURT

DATED:11/01/2023

ORDER

WP.No.1082 of 2021



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS**

6 Copies

Bst 6/1/23