



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22/12/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.23324 of 2023

1. S.Vivekananthan

2. Sivanandi

3. Muthupillai

4. Vinoth @ Vinothkumar

5. Rajeshwari @ Raji

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1 to 5

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Andipatti,
Theni District.
Crime No.26 of 2023.

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.C. Susikumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : M/s.M.Aasha,
Government Advocate(Criminal Side)



PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

WEB COPY

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.26 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A5, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 406, and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.26 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the marriage between the first accused and the defacto complainant was solemnized on 12.04.2019 and at the time of marriage the family members of the defacto complainant had given 12 sovereigns of gold jewels, house hold articles worth about Rs.2,00,000/- and the first petitioner said to have pledged the defacto complainant to run the petty shop . Thereafter she was subjected to harassment by the petitioners demanding more dowry. hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and a false case has been foisted against them. Hence he seeks anticipatory to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted the petitioners herein along with other accused demanded more dowry and harassed the defacto complainant, hence she objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. It is noticed that is a dispute between the family members.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009) 4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residents at Theni District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, they can safely be released on their personal bond.



7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti on condition that the petitioners shall execute own bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on receipt of summons

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail

by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 229A IPC.

/ TRUE COPY /

sd/-
22/12/2023

/01/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ANDIPATTI.

2 DO THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THENI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
ANDIPATTI, THENI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to C.Susikumar, Advocate (SR.No.18339)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.23324 of 2023
Date :22/12/2023

MGJ(29.12.2023) 5P 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17.07.2023

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>