



CRL OP(MD). No.23046 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21/12/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.23046 of 2023

Arunpandian

... Petitioner / Accused No.1

Vs

1. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Alangulam,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.32/2022.)

... 1st Respondent / Complainant

2. Sineha

... 2nd Respondent / Defacto Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Lenin Kumar.T, Advocate.

For Respondent : M/s.M.Aasha,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.32/2022 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.23046 of 2023

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 498(A), 406, 294(b), 312 and 506(ii) IPC, in Crime No.32 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein has demanded additional dowry from the defacto complainant and also tortured her both mentally and cruelly. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and her name has been falsely implicated in this case and hence he seeks anticipatory to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent would submit that it is a case of matrimonial dispute between the husband and wife and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others



CRL OP(MD). No.23046 of 2023

WEB COPY

reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime.

Further the petitioner is having permanent residents at Thenkasi District and the origin of the crime is matrimonial dispute. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alangulam on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :



CRL OP(MD). No.23046 of 2023

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
21/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/01/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP



CRL OP(MD). No.23046 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Alangulam.

2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tirunelveli District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Alangulam, Tenkasi District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1CC to M/s.T.LENIN KUMAR, Advocate, SR.No.18240(I), dated 22.12.2023

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.23046 of 2023

Date :21/12/2023

ED/ JGB /SAR- (02/01/2024) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023