



CRL OP(MD)No.22699 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Date : 15.12.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.22699 of 2023

1.Chandran alaies Chandraeswaran

2.Thangespandiyar

... Petitioners/ Accused 1 & 2

Vs

The Inspector of Police,

Peraiyur Police Station,

Madurai District.

(In Crime No.259 of 2023)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.D.S.HAROON RASHEED, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.SETHURAMAN,

Additional Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.259 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 448 and 506(ii), 379(NP) IPC and Section 4 TNPHW Act in Crime No.259 of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant made a sale



CRL OP(MD)No.22699 of 2023

agreement with the father of A2 and received a sum of Rs.20,000/- as advance in the year 2017. The defacto complainant assured to execute the sale deed after receiving the balance consideration and also obtaining DTCP approval. Thereafter, the defacto complainant unable to obtained DTCP approval from the authorities. Due to which, the accused persons assaulted the defacto complainant and also abused him in filthy language. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the investigation in this case is not yet completed.

5.Considering the nature of allegations levelled as against the petitioners and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residence at Madurai District. Hence the principles



CRL OP(MD)No.22699 of 2023

stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Peraiyur on condition that the petitioners shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on summons.



CRL OP(MD)No.22699 of 2023

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
15/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/01/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III/ IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS
TO
1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PERAIYUR.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, MADURAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERAIYUR POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD)No.22699 of 2023

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22699 of 2023
Date :15/12/2023

SA/VR/SAR. /04.01.2024/5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.