



CRL OP(MD)No.22731 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18.12.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.22731 of 2023

Subbaiyah

... Petitioner / Accused No.1

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Avaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai District.
(In Cr.No.888 of 2023)

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Suriya Narayana Samy, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.888 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 406, 420, 506(i) IPC in Crime No.888



CRL OP(MD)No.22731 of 2023

of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that A2 borrowed a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- from the defacto complainant and gave assurance to repay the same within six months. On 23.06.2021, A2 and the petitioner herein gave 7 sovereigns of gold jewels for the borrowed money and promised to repay the balance amount within one month. The defacto complainant checked the gold jewels given by A2 and the petitioner herein and came to know that the same was fake one. When the defacto complainant questioned about the same, the accused persons abused and threatened him in filthy language. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the investigation is not yet completed.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and



CRL OP(MD)No.22731 of 2023

WEB COPY

taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residence at Madurai District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the



CRL OP(MD)No.22731 of 2023

WEB COPY

surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
18/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/01/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS



CRL OP(MD)No.22731 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.VI,
Madurai.

2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Madurai District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Avaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1CC to M/s.B.SURIYA NARAYANA SAMY, Advocate, SR.No.17917(I), dated
18.12.2023

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.22731 of 2023
Date :18/12/2023

ED/ DD /SAR- (02/01/2024) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023