



CRL OP(MD). No.22618 of 2023

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 15/12/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.22618 of 2023

1. Neethirajan,
2. Annadurai,
3. Velusamy @ Velsamy,
4. Esakki Durai,
5. Muthukutty,

... Petitioners/ Accused No. 1 to 4 and 8

Vs

The Inspector of Police,  
Alangulam Police Station,  
Tenkasi District,  
Crime No.406/2023.

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.Saravanakumar.C,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Sureshkumar,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C



CRL OP(MD). No.22618 of 2023

PRAYER :-  
WEB COPY

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.406/2023 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/ Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 148,341,294(b),323 and 506(ii) IPC, in Crime No.406 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity the petitioners herein waylaid the defacto complainant abused the defacto complainant, assaulted the defacto complainant and also criminally intimidated, hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and a false case has been foisted against them. He would further submit that the first petitioner was arrested and released on bail yesterday, hence he seek anticipatory bail to other petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioners herein waylaid the defacto complainant abused the



**CRL OP(MD). No.22618 of 2023**

defacto complainant, assaulted the defacto complainant and also criminally  
intimidated hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.It is reported first petitioner was arrested and released on bail and no previous case is pending against the others petitioners. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners 2 to 5 is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners 2 to 5 are having permanent residents at Tenkasi District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, they can safely be released on their personal bond.



CRL OP(MD). No.22618 of 2023

WEB COPY

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners 2 to 5. Accordingly, the petitioners 2 to 5 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alangulam on condition that the petitioners 2 to 5 shall execute own bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners 2 to 5 shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners 2 to 5 shall report before the trial Court on receipt of summons

[c] the petitioners 2 to 5 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners 2 to 5 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial

Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners 2 to 5 in accordance



CRL OP(MD). No.22618 of 2023

WEB COPY

with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners 2 to 5 released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. The petition stands dismissed in so far as the first petitioner is concerned.

sd/-

15/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/01/2024

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. -I, II, III, IV)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

AAV

TO

1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ALANGULAM

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
TIRUNELVELI.

3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
ALANGULAM POLICE STATION,  
TENKASI DISTRICT,

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.C.SARAVANAKUMAR, Advocate ( SR-17818[I] dated 15/12/2023 )

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22618 of 2023

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.22618 of 2023  
Date :15/12/2023

RK/VR (29/12/2023) 6P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from  
17/07/2023