



CRL OP(MD). No.22271 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/12/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM
CRL OP(MD). No.22271 of 2023

Thiruneelakandan

... Petitioner/ Accused No.2

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Aranthangi Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
Crime No.611/2023..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Leninkumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.611/2023 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 447, 506(i) & 109 of IPC, in Crime No.611 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



CRL OP(MD). No.22271 of 2023

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 13.11.2023, when the defacto complainant was in her R.O plant, at the instance of the petitioner, one M.S.K.Palani made a phone call to the complainant and informed that he trespassed into her firm and threatened her not to enter into the said firm and if she entered into the firm, he will do away the life of the complainant. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that there is no material to show that the complainant is the owner of the property. Already a civil suit in O.S.No.91 of 2016 was filed before the learned District Munsif, Aranthangi, and the same was dismissed for default on 19.11.2021. Without taking any steps to restore the above said suit, she lodged a false complaint against the accused. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the investigation is not yet completed and no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5.Considering the nature of allegations levelled as against the petitioner and



CRL OP(MD). No.22271 of 2023

WEB COPY
taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residence at Pudukkottai District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aranthangi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-



CRL OP(MD). No.22271 of 2023

(Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court



CRL OP(MD). No.22271 of 2023

in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-

12/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

DSS

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ARANTHANGI.

2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARANTHANGI POLICE STATION, PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.T.LENINKUMAR, Advocate (SR-17711[I] dated 13/12/2023)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.22271 of 2023
Date :12/12/2023

RK/DD (19/12/2023) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>