



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.22488 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: **22.12.2023**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22488 of 2023

- 1.P.Indrajith @ Dheepak
- 2.Tamilzhan
- 3.Kannan
- 4.Saravanan
- 5.Lenin
- 6.Lelan
- 7.Elango
- 8.Hariharan
- 9.Nagaselvam
- 10.Sankar @ Cinna Thambi
- 11.Stalin
- 12.Nallasivam
- 13.Vasantha Raja
- 14.Subburaj
- 15.Karthick @ Karthi
- 16.Natraj
- 17.Velmurugan @ Velu
- 18.Suresh
- 19.Raja Pandi

... Petitioners



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.22488 of 2023

Vs

1.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District, Crime No.303/2019.

2.Atchiyammal

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records relating with impugned FIR dated 14.07.2019, in Crime No.303/2019 on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the same as against the petitioners.

For Petitioner :Mr.S.Vellaisamy

For Respondents :Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar for R1
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

Mr.G.Hariharan for R2

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.303 of 2019 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.303 of 2019 for the offences punishable



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.22488 of 2023

under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 336, 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC and Section 3(1) of TNPPDL Act.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash all



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.22488 of 2023

further proceedings in Crime No.303 of 2019 pending on the file of the
1st respondent police in respect of these petitioners.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.303 of 2019, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

22.12.2023

Internet : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No

TM

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District, Crime No.303/2019.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.22488 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN. J.

TM

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22488 of 2023

22.12.2023