



CRL OP(MD). No.22134 of 2023

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11/12/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.22134 of 2023

Vellakkal Kannan

... Petitioner/6th Accused

Vs

The Sub Inspector of Police,
Perunkudi Police Station, Madurai.
(Crime No.136/2015)..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Muniyandi,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.136/2015 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.22134 of 2023

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 147, 148, 341, 323, 324 and 307 IPC in Crime No.136 of 2015, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous motive, the petitioner herein has attacked the defacto complainant with stick and hands and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that already the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court in 9124 of 2015, dated 20.05.2015 without complying the order passed in said petition, the petitioner has filed the present petition. He would further submit that now, the case is in PRC stage.



CRL OP(MD). No.22134 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. On perusal of the records it is seen that now, the case is in PRC stage.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged offence against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner is having permanent resident at Madurai District and the origin of the crime is family dispute. In this circumstances, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.22134 of 2023

WEB COPY

Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. VI, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.22134 of 2023

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
11/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE VI, MADURAI.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI.
- 3.THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERUNKUDI POLICE STATION, MADURAI.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.MUNIYANDI, Advocate (SR-17534[I] dated 11/12/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22134 of 2023
Date :11/12/2023

RK/JGB (20/12/2023) 5P / 6C
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023