



CRL OP(MD). No.21959 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 06/12/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.21959 of 2023

C.Siddharthan

... Petitioner / Accused No.3

Vs

The Inspector of Police,  
Kodaikanal Police Station,  
Dindigul District.  
(in Crime No.276/2023.)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.P.S.Palaivel Rajan, Senior Counsel  
for M/s.KPS Law Associate, Advocate.  
For Respondent : Mr.Rms.Sethuraman,  
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.276 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 147, 120(B), 419, 420,



CRL OP(MD). No.21959 of 2023

468, 471, 447, 427 and 506(i) IPC in Crime No.276 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the property was purchased by one K.S.Chandrasekaran and Sankar in the year 1972. The petitioner's father and his grand father impersonated them and executed a settlement deed in the year 2021 by reporting that the original deed of 1972 was missing, wherein the petitioner herein had attested the settlement deed given in favour of his mother A1. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor the petitioner herein has attested in the settlement deed executed in favour of his mother A1 and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that there was a civil dispute between the parties and the petitioner herein is only attesting witness in the document No.647/2013.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR



CRL OP(MD). No.21959 of 2023

WEB COPY

1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged offence against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner is having permanent resident at Karur District and the origin of the crime is execution of document. In this circumstances, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. II, Kodaikanal, on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned



CRL OP(MD). No.21959 of 2023

Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-  
06/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023  
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. )  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP



CRL OP(MD). No.21959 of 2023

WEB COPY

To  
1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,  
Kodaikanal.

2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,  
Dindigul District.

3.The Inspector of Police,  
Kodaikanal Police Station,  
Dindigul District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.T.NITHES, Advocate ( SR-17323[I] dated 06/12/2023 )

ORDER  
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.21959 of 2023  
Date :06/12/2023

ED/ JGB /SAR- (12/12/2023) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from  
17/07/2023