



CRL OP(MD)No.21448 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29.11.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.21448 of 2023

1.Murugan

2.Juli

3.Rajathi

... Petitioners/ Accused 3 to 5

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Civil Supplies CID.,
Trichy
(Crime No.168 of 2023)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.T.LENIN KUMAR, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.SETHURAMAN,
Additional Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.168 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for

the offences punishable under clause 6(4) of TNSC (RDCS) Order 1982 r/w Section 7



CRL OP(MD)No.21448 of 2023

(1)(a)(ii) of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 in Crime No.168 of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that based on the secret information, the police party went to the occurrence place, where two persons were standing along with rice bags and they caught them. On search, it was found that the accused was in illegal possession of 8 bags of PDS rice (each contains 50 kgs) and 70 bags of broken PDS rice (each contains 50 kgs). Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioners illegally transported PDS rice, which was meant to supply through fair price shop.

5.On perusal of records, it is noticed that the petitioners collected rice only from the general public.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)



CRL OP(MD)No.21448 of 2023

WEB COPY

4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residence at Trichy District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. VI, Trichy on condition that the petitioners shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the



CRL OP(MD)No.21448 of 2023

WEB COPY

surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
29/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS
TO
1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.VI,
TRICHY.



CRL OP(MD)No.21448 of 2023

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, TRICHY DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CIVIL SUPPLIES CID.,
TRICHY.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.T.LENINKUMAR, Advocate (SR-17087[I] dated 30/11/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21448 of 2023
Date :29/11/2023

SA/DD/SAR. /11.12.2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.