



CRL OP(MD). No.21243 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/12/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.21243 of 2023

1. Anbu Madasamy,

2. Rajavel,

... Petitioners/2nd & 3rd Accused

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Thallakulam Police Station,
Madurai City.
Cr.No.1361/2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.POORNACHANDRAN.S,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.SETHURAMAN,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 1361/2023 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 341, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.1361 of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.



CRL OP(MD). No.21243 of 2023

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that it is a dispute between the son-in-law and the father-in-law. On 19.11.2023, the petitioners along with other accused waylaid the defacto complainant and his friend, who were proceeding towards to house in a two wheeler and abused them in filthy language and assaulted them with knife and caused injuries. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that even as per the statement of the defacto complainant, he himself assaulted his father-in-law. In this regard, the wife of the defacto complainant also lodged a complaint against the defacto complainant. Further, the injured were discharged from the hospital. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that it is a dispute between the son-in-law and the father-in-law. The injured persons have already been discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5.Considering the nature of allegations levelled as against the petitioners and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal



CRL OP(MD). No.21243 of 2023

Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4

WEB COPY

SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC

260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residence at Madurai District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Madurai. on condition that the petitioners shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand



CRL OP(MD). No.21243 of 2023

dismissed and on further condition that :

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioners shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
12/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.21243 of 2023

DSS

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
MADURAI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, MADURAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THALLAKULAM POLICE STATION,
MADURAI CITY.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.POORNACHANDRAN, Advocate (SR-17633[I] dated 12/12/2023)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.21243 of 2023

Date :12/12/2023

SA/JGB/SAR. /26.12.2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.