



CRL OP(MD) No.21320 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Twenty Eighth day of November Two Thousand and Twenty Three  
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.SIVAGNANAM  
CRL OP(MD) No.21320 of 2023

S.KUMAR

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

State Rep.by  
THE SPECIAL SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
KALIYAKKAVILAI POLICE STATION,  
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.  
CRIME NO.172/2023.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.KRISHNA KUMAR, Advocate  
For Respondent : MR.RMS.SETHURAMAN,  
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL UNDER SEC 438 OF CR.P.C.

PRAYER :

FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL IN CRIME NO.172/2023 ON THE FILE OF THE  
RESPONDENT POLICE.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner / accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 379 IPC and 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation), Act, 1957 in Crime No.172 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent police was in routine



CRL OP(MD) No.21320 of 2023

check up, the petitioner herein and other accused have illegally transported Big stone by using Lorries. Hence, the case.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner herein is not having any previous case and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that the petitioner has illegally transported of big stone by using lorries.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009) 4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged offence against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner is having permanent resident at Tirunelveli District. In this circumstances, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has



CRL OP(MD) No.21320 of 2023

WEB COPY

cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is it to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kuzhithurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial



CRL OP(MD) No.21320 of 2023

WEB COPY

Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-  
28/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

trp

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,  
KUZHITHURAI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.

3 THE SPECIAL SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
KALIYAKKAVILAI POLICE STATION,  
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.KRISHNAKUMAR, Advocate ( SR-16960[I] dated 28/11/2023 )  
<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



**CRL OP(MD) No.21320 of 2023**

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.21320 of 2023

Date :28/11/2023

RS/SKN/SAR-(06.12.2023) 5P 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing  
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023