



CRL OP(MD)No.20731 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date: 27.11.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.20731 of 2023

Sundar @ Sundharamoorthy

... Petitioner/ Accused Rank Not Known

Vs

State Rep.by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Jaihindpuram Police Station,  
Madurai.  
(Crime No.610/2023)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.R.Yamuna, Advocate  
For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman  
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.610 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Rank not known, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 447, 323 and

379 (NP) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.610 of 2023 on the file of the

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the accused persons have illegally trespassed into the house of the de-facto complainant and abused her in filthy language and also attacked her and her son and snatched a mobile, one sovereign of gold chain and a cash of Rs.3000/- and also damaged a two wheeler. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against him. He would also submit that it is a case and case counter. Hence he seeks anticipatory to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that due to previous enmity, the accused persons have illegally trespassed into the house of the de-facto complainant and abused her in filthy language and also attacked her and her son and snatched a mobile, one sovereign of gold chain and a cash of Rs.3000/- and also damaged a two wheeler and hence, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.It is reported that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case pending against the petitioner.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632



CRL OP(MD)No.20731 of 2023

and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in

WEB COPY

(2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)

4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the

offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the

petitioner is having permanent residents at Trichy District. Hence the principles

stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in

AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme

Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be

encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking

into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to

abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the

petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of

arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which

the order copy made ready, before the Judicial Magistrate Court No.IV, Madurai on

condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees

Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer

who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned,

failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further



CRL OP(MD)No.20731 of 2023

condition that :

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on receipt of summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-  
27/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD)No.20731 of 2023

TO

WEB COPY

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.IV, MADURAI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, JAIHINDPURAM POLICE STATION, MADURAI.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.YAMUNA, Advocate ( SR-17068[I] dated 29/11/2023 )

ORDER  
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.20731 of 2023

Date :27/11/2023

RS/JGB/SAR-(30.11.2023) 5P 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing  
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023