



CRL OP(MD)No.20626 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27.11.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.20626 of 2023

1.M.Shanthi

2.M.Veeravignesh

... Petitioners/ Accused 2nd & 3rd

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Southgate Police Station (L&O)
Madurai, Madurai District.
(Crime No.Not Known of 2023)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.L.Shaji Chellan, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar,
Government Advocate(Crl.side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.Not Known of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 420 IPC in Crime No.Not Known of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.



CRL OP(MD)No.20626 of 2023

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running a jewellery shop in Madurai and the first accused is also doing jewel business in Aundipattui. The defacto complainant used to supply jewels to the first accused and the business transactions were prompt for some time. According to the defacto complainant, lastly he supplied 160 grams of gold jewels to the first accused. But he failed to pay the amount and also did not return the jewels. The petitioners herein are wife and son of A1, who were helped A1. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the investigation is not yet completed.

5.On perusal of records, it is noticed that there was business transaction between the defacto complainant and A1 and there is no allegations as against the petitioners herein.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)



CRL OP(MD)No.20626 of 2023

WEB COPY

4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residence at Theni District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.4, Madurai on condition that the petitioners shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the



CRL OP(MD)No.20626 of 2023

surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass

WEB COPY

Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-

27/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

gns



CRL OP(MD)No.20626 of 2023

TO

WEB COPY

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.4, MADURAI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, SOUTHGATE POLICE STATION(L AND O),
MADURAI, MADURAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+2 CC to M/s.L.SHAJI CHELLAN, Advocate (SR-17045[I] dated 29/11/2023)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.20626 of 2023

Date :27/11/2023

RS/DD/SAR-(08.12.2023) 5P 7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023