



CRL OP(MD). No.20365 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15/11/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.20365 of 2023

Dhanapandi

... Petitioner/ Accused
(Rank not known)

Vs

The State rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kamuthi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.,
Crime No.306/2023..

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Murugan.V,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.306/2023 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.20365 of 2023

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 341, 294(b), 353, 506(ii) IPC r/w 3(1) of Tamil Nadu Prevention of Damage of Public Property Act, 1984 in Crime No.306 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 28.10.2023, the petitioner herein and other accused have obstructed the bus bearing Regn.No.TN 63 N 1626, and abused the Conductor and Driver of the bus and also thrown away the stones front windshield of the bus. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that during the time of Muthuramalinga Thevar Guru Pooja, the petitioner herein has committed the above said offence and the investigation of the case is pending.



CRL OP(MD). No.20365 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that that there was a wordy quarrel between the parties.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged offence against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner is having permanent resident at Madurai District. In this circumstances, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.



CRL OP(MD). No.20365 of 2023

7. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.20365 of 2023

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
15/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KAMUTHI.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KAMUTHI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.V.MURUGAN, Advocate (SR-16293[I] dated 15/11/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20365 of 2023
Date :15/11/2023

RK/DD (23/11/2023) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023