



1

W.P.(MD)NO.28814 OF 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 05.01.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P.(MD)No.28814 of 2022

R.Rajeswari

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector General of Registration,
O/o. Inspector General Office,
No.100, Santhome High Road,
Raja Annamalaipuram,
Chrompet, Chennai-28.

2. The District Registrar,
O/o The District Registrar Office,
Rajakambeeram,
Y.Othakkadai Post,
Madurai District.

3. The Sub Registrar,
O/o the Sub Registrar Office,
Rajakambeeram,
Y.Othakkadai Post,
Madurai District.

4. The District Revenue Officer,
District Revenue Office,
Madurai & district.

5. T. Kirubakaran

6. K. Muniyandi

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing



the 1st and 2nd respondents to consider and pass order on the petitioner's representation dated 07.11.2022 to cancel the registration of documents No.P/130/2021 presented on 24.12.2001 and registered as document No. 913 of 2022 dated 10.02.2022 in S.F.No. 6/3 and 10/4 at Podasapatti Village, Madurai East Taluk, Madurai District, on the file of the 3rd respondent and the same may be disposed within stipulated time fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R. Jegadeeswaran
For R1 to R4 : Mr.N. Sathees Kumar,
Additional Government Pleader.

For R5 to R6 : C. Muthu Saravanan

* * *

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel on either side.

2. The petitioner feels aggrieved by the execution of the sale deed No.133 of 2009 on the file of the third respondent. He submitted a representation on 20.07.2020. The second respondent relegated the petitioner to move the jurisdictional civil Court. Questioning the same, the petitioner filed an appeal before the first respondent. The appeal also has been disposed of on 10.02.2022. In the meanwhile,



subsequent transactions have been taking place. The petitioner has once again submitted representation before the authorities and he wants this Court to give a disposal of the same.

3. As rightly pointed out by the learned Additional Government Pleader, the petitioner cannot vex the authorities by submitting successive representations. Once the petitioner is unsuccessful, he must pursue his remedy before the appropriate forum. He cannot submit one representation after another and come to this Court for directing the authorities to dispose of them. I make it clear that the petitioner has to either file a civil suit or question the rejection orders. Issuance of writ of mandamus will not lie. This writ petition stands dismissed as not maintainable. It is made clear that I have not pronounced anything on the merits of the matter. No costs.

05.01.2023

NCS : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
PMU



WEB COPY

To:

1. The Inspector General of Registration,
O/o Inspector general office,
No.100, Santhome High Road,
Raja Annamalaipuram,
Chrompet,
Chennai-28
2. The District Registrar,
O/o The District registrar Office,
Rajakambeeram,
Y.Othakkadai Post,
Madurai District.
3. The Sub Registrar,
O/o the sub registrar office,
Rajakambeeram,
Y.Othakkadai Post,
Madurai District.
4. The District Revenue Officer,
District Revenue Office,
Madurai & district.



WEB COPY



5

W.P.(MD)NO.28814 OF 2022

G.R.SWAMINATHAN,J.

PMU

W.P.(MD)No.28814 of 2022

05.01.2023