



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20342 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: **21.12.2023**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.20342 of 2023

and

Crl.MP(MD)No.15872 of 2023

1.Ajit Kumar

2.Gokula Kanan

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.3 & 5

Vs.

1.The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Devipattinam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(In Crime No.201 of 2021).

... Respondent/Complainant

2.Ganesan

... Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

3.Banumathi

... Respondent/Victim

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to FIR in Crime No.201 of 2021 U/s 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(2) of IPC r/w Section 4 of the Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 on the file of the 1st Respondent Police dated 19.06.2021 and quash the same as illegal as against the petitioners.

For Petitioners : Mr.D.Balamuruga Pandi

For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.side).

For R2 : Mr.I.Kannan



ORDER

WEB COPY

This petition has been filed seeking quashment of impugned FIR in Crime No.201 of 2021 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.Both the parties are relatives. The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.201 of 2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(2) of IPC r/w Section 4 of the Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.



WEB COPY

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 16.11.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.201 of 2021 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.201 of 2021, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

21.12.2023

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No

dss



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20342 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN. J.

dss

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Devipattinam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.20342 of 2023
and
Crl.MP(MD)No.15872 of 2023

21.12.2023