



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22711 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **11.04.2023**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22711 of 2022

1. Amarnath

2. Dharmar

3. Janath

4. Umasankar

...Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 4

Vs.

1.State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Ilayangudi Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(In Crime No.217/2013)

...1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Sampath

...2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records in connection with the impugned Charge Sheet in S.C No.31 of 2020 on the file of the learned Sub Court, Manamadurai, Sivagangai District, and quash the same in so



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22711 of 2022

far as the petitioner is concerned.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Raja Ravi Varma
For R1 : Mr.S.Manikandan
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : Mr.S.P.Naveenkumar

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in S.C.No.31 of 2020 on the file of the learned Sub Court, Manamadurai, Sivagangai District.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.217 of 2013 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 307 of IPC, against the petitioners. It appears that the parties are close relatives and now the defacto complainant decided to make compromise with the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22711 of 2022

of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 22.12.2022, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in S.C.No.31 of 2020 pending on the file of the learned Sub Court, Manamadurai, Sivagangai District, is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22711 of 2022

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in S.C.No.31 of 2020, pending on the file of the learned Sub Court, Manamadurai, Sivagangai District, is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

11.04.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Indu

To

- 1.The Sub Court,
Manamadurai,
Sivagangai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Ilayangudi Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22711 of 2022

G.ILANGO VAN,J.

Indu

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22711 of 2022

Dated: 11.04.2023