



CRL OP(MD). No.21435 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06/12/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.21435 of 2023

Vijayalakshmi,

... Petitioner/ Accused 2

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Rajapalayam South Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Cr.No. 370/2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s. BALAMURUGAPANDI.D,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.Mr.RMS.SETHURAMAN
Additional Public Prosecutor

For intervenor : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.370 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 506(i) IPC in Crime No.370 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and her husband / A1 have

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.21435 of 2023

WEB COPY

approached the defacto complainant and stated that they are going to start a chit fund and asked to deposit the money and thereby, the defacto complainant has deposited a sum of Rs.11,73,000/- on various dates, but, the accised persons have not returned the same. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is wife of A1 and she is no way connected with the said offence. He would further submit that A1 is ready to deposit the amount before the concerned Court and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervenor has no objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner since her husband / A1 is ready to deposit the said amount.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that investigation of the case is pending and hence, strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence



CRL OP(MD). No.21435 of 2023

WEB COPY

alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent resident at Virudhunagar District and her husband / A1 is ready to deposit the amount. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rajapalayam, Virudhunagar District on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as



CRL OP(MD). No.21435 of 2023

directed by the trial Court.

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
06/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAJAPALAYAM, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT
SRIVILLIPUTHUR.



CRL OP(MD). No.21435 of 2023

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RAJAPALAYAM SOUTH POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.D.BALAMURUGAPANDI, Advocate (SR-17370[I] dated 07/12/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21435 of 2023
Date :06/12/2023

SA/DD/SAR. /19.12.2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.