



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22723 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02.02.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22723 of 2022

1.Kilukku @ Satham Hussain

2.Sahul Hameed

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The State represented by its
The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
Crime No.675 of 2022.

2.Seenivasan @ Thongakara Seeni

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the entire records in relating to the Crime No.675 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police under Sections 294(b) and 506(ii) IPC and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Aravind Raj,

For R1 : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.M.Velladhurai,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22723 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking orders, to call for the entire records in relating to the Crime No.675 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police under Sections 294(b) and 506(ii) IPC and quash the same.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and his daughters in filthy language. Hence, the complaint.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.675 of 2022, dated 14.11.2022 for the offences under Sections 294(b) and 506(ii) IPC, against the petitioners.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22723 of 2022

WEB COPY

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.R.Jeyanthan, SSI, Melur Police Station as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 294(b) and 506(ii) IPC.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22723 of 2022

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.675 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.675 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

02.02.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
das



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22723 of 2022

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22723 of 2022

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

das

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22723 of 2022

Dated: 02.02.2023