



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22805 of 2022

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.01.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22805 of 2022

R.Sivaraman

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.State through the Inspector of Police,
Saptur Police Station,
Madurai District, Madurai.
(Crime No.179 of 2022)

2.Murugesan

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records in Crime No.179 of 2022 pending on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as against the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Gunaseelan Muthiah,

For Respondents : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
for R1.

: Mr.K.Mariappan, for R2.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22805 of 2022

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking orders to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.179 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as illegal against the petitioner.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there arose wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to which, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also assaulted him. Hence the complaint.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.179 of 2022, dated 07.12.2022 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(i) IPC against the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22805 of 2022

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioner and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioner and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.P.Karunakaran, Sub-Inspector Police, Saptur Police Station as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(i) IPC.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22805 of 2022

(2012)10 SCC 303 and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in **(2017)9 SCC 641** were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.179 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.179 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

19.01.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
das



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22805 of 2022

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Saptur Police Station,
Madurai District, Madurai.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22805 of 2022

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

das

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22805 of 2022

Dated: 19.01.2023