



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.19967 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated: 08/11/2023

CORAM

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice **G.ILANGOVAN**

CrI.OP (MD)No.19967 of 2023

and

CrI.MP (MD)No.15665 of 2023

- 1.Yuvaraj
- 2.Nallasamy
- 3.Veerakumar
- 4.Vignesh alias Mahavignesh
- 5.Vairamuthu
- 6.Raj alias Alagurraj
- 7.Thirupathi
- 8.Suresh
- 9.Manikandan
- 10.Kottaikali
- 11.Rasu
- 12.Siva alias Sivasubramaniyan : Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

- 1.The State rep. by
Inspector of Police,
Srivilliputhur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(FIR No.53/2019) : R1/Complainant
- 2.Muthupandi : R2/De-facto Complainant

PRAYER:- Criminal Original Petition has been filed under section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records relating to STC No.362 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputhur and to quash the same as against the petitioner is concerned.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Karthikeya Venkita
Chalapathy

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate
(Criminal side)



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19967 of 2023

O R D E R

WEB COPY This criminal original petition has been filed seeking quashment of the case in STC No.362 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputhur.

2.The case of the prosecution in brief:-

On 21/01/2019, the petitioners along with others conducted agitation in front of the Srivilliputhur Bus Stand condemning the arrest of their leader at Ramanathapuram. Based upon which, a case in Crime No.53 of 2019 was registered for the offences under sections 143 and 341 IPC. After completing the investigation, final report was filed and it was taken cognizance in STC No.362 of 2022 by the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputhur.

3.Seeking quashment of the same, this petition has been filed by the petitioners on the ground that none of the allegations mentioned in the FIR or in the final report attract any of the ingredients of the offences alleged against them.

4.Heard both sides.



5.For attracting the offence under section 143 IPC,
the ingredients of section 141 IPC must be fulfilled.

6.Section 141 IPC reads as under:-

"Section 141.Unlawful assembly.-An assembly of five or more persons is designated an "unlawful assembly:, if the common object of the persons composing that assembly is-

First-To overawe by criminal force, or show of criminal force, or any public servant in the exercise of the lawful power of such public servant; or

Second-To resist the execution of any law, or of any legal process; or

Third.-To commit any mischief or criminal trespass, or other offence; or

Fourth.-By means of criminal force, or show of criminal force, to any person, to take or obtain possession of any property, or to deprive any person of the enjoyment of a right of way, or of the use of water or other incorporeal right of which he is in possession or enjoyment, or to enforce any right or supposed right: or



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19967 of 2023

Fifth.-By means of criminal force, or show of criminal force, to compel any person to do what he is not legally bound to do, or to omit to do what he is legally entitled to do.

Explanation.-An assembly which was not unlawful when it assembled, may subsequently become an unlawful assembly."

7. When we apply the ingredients to the factual position of the case, it is seen that none of the ingredients mentioned in 141 IPC get attracted. They have simply made agitation or protest. It is a democratic right of every person. Such a right has been exercised by the petitioners along with others. So, that cannot be construed as 'unlawful or illegal'.

8. Section 341 IPC reads as under:-

"341. Punishment for wrongful restrain.-Whoever wrongfully restrains any person shall be punished with simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to one month, or with fine which may extend to five hundred rupees or with both."



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19967 of 2023

9.For attracting the offence under section 341 IPC, there must be material to show that some was restrained unlawfully. But there is no complaint by any of the public.

10.For the reasons stated above, this criminal original petition stands allowed. The case in STC No.362 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputhur is hereby quashed as against the petitioners. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

08/11/2023

Index:Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No

er



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19967 of 2023

WEB COPY

To,

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Srivilliputhur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Srivilliputhur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Cr1.O.P.(MD)No.19967 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN, J

er

Cr1.OP (MD) No.19967 of 2023

08/11/2023