



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22226 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **04.01.2023**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22226 of 2022

1.Rajkumar

2.Chellapandi

3.Saravanakumar

4.Musthafa

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Mathichiyam Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No.400 of 2022)

2.Karuppiah

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to quash the FIR made in Crime No.400 of 2022 dated 26.09.2022 on the file of the first respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Kaliraj

For R1 : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.C.B.Ramalingam



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22226 of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking orders to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.400 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 26.09.2022 at about 09.15 p.m., the petitioners attacked the second respondent/defacto complainant and threatened him with dire consequences.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.400 of 2022 dated 26.09.2022 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) IPC against the petitioners.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22226 of 2022

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.T.Chinnaalagu, Special Sub Inspector, Mathichiyam Police Station as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) IPC.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22226 of 2022

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.400 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.400 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

04.01.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
csm



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22226 of 2022

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Mathichiyam Police Station,
Madurai City.
 - 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22226 of 2022

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

csn

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22226 of 2022

Dated: 04.01.2023