



WP(MD)No.20692 of 2017

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 02.06.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.20692 of 2017

T.Premchand

... Petitioner

v.

1.The District Collector,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

3.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Thiruchuli Police Station,
Thiruchuli,
Virudhunagar District.

4.Kumar

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 3 to take action against the fourth respondent by considering the petitioner's representation dated 19.09.2016.



WP(MD)No.20692 of 2017

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Satish

For Respondents : Mr.C.Baskaran,
Government Advocate
for R.1 to R.3

No appearance for R.4

ORDER

The petitioner is a practising Advocate and according to him, he is having some agricultural lands near Gundaru area, Thiruchuli. The petitioner claims that the fourth respondent is indulging in illegal quarrying of sand from river Gundaru and the following cases are pending against him for the offence under the Mines and Minerals Act, apart from IPC offence:-

S.No.	Cr.No.	Section	Stage
1	123/2015	379 IPC & 21(1) Mines and Minerals Act	SC.No.183/2016 on the file of Principal District and Sessions Court, Virudhunagar.
2	329/2015	420, 379, 506(i) IPC & 21(1) Mines and Minerals Act	CC.No.172/2021 on the file of District Court, Srivilliputhur.
3	287/2020	379 IPC & 21(1) Mines and Minerals Act	CC.No.204/2022 on the file of District Court, Srivilliputhur.



WP(MD)No.20692 of 2017

Therefore, the petitioner has made a representation to the respondents to initiate action as against the fourth respondent under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. Alleging that the said representation has not been considered, the petitioner has moved this writ petition.

2.This writ petition, which was filed in the year 2017, was kept pending even without any notice. This Court, on 01.03.2023, ordered notice to the fourth respondent and also permitted the petitioner to take private notice.

3.It appears that the petitioner has not taken any steps to take private notice, as ordered by this Court. Considering the conduct of the petitioner and the nature of relief sought for in the representation, this Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
gk

02.06.2023



WEB COPY



WP(MD)No.20692 of 2017

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

gk

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
- 2.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
- 3.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Thiruchuli Police Station,
Thiruchuli,
Virudhunagar District.

WP(MD)No.20692 of 2017

02.06.2023