



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22217 of 2022

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **04.01.2023**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22217 of 2022

1.Amirtharaj

2.Balamurugan

3.Anbarasan

4.Jeyakumar

5.Palani Selvam

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
Austinpatti Police Station,
Madurai District, Madurai.
(Crime No.340 of 2016)

2.Ranjith

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records in Crime No.340 of 2016 dated 20.06.2016 pending on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as against the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22217 of 2022

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Sivaprakash
For R1 : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : M/s.B.Vinotha

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking orders to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.340 of 2016 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as illegal against the petitioners.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there existed previous enmity between the parties, due to which, the petitioners and the other accused attacked the second respondent/defacto complainant by using rod.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.340 of 2016 dated 20.06.2016 for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323 and



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22217 of 2022

324 IPC against six persons including the petitioners. He would further submit that the second accused Suresh had died on 23.04.2018.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.M.Abraham, Training Sub Inspector, Austinpatti Police Station as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323 and 324 IPC.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22217 of 2022

WEB COPY

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another* reported in *(2012)10 SCC 303* and *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath* reported in *(2017)9 SCC 641* were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.340 of 2016 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.340 of 2016 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

04.01.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
csm



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22217 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Austinpatti Police Station,
Madurai District, Madurai.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22217 of 2022

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

csn

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22217 of 2022

Dated: 04.01.2023