



CRL OP(MD). No.19658 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03/11/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.19658 of 2023

1. Rahamath Nisha,

2. Mohammed Gani,

3. Bismillah Banu,

4. Fathima Salma,

... Petitioners/ Accused (Rank Not Known)

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Cantonment,
Trichy District.

In Crime No.Not Known of 2023

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.SAKTHIVEL.R,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.AASHA,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.Not Known of 2023 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/ Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 498-A of IPC in Crime



CRL OP(MD). No.19658 of 2023

No. Not Known of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners harassed the de-facto complainant and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that there is family dispute between the first accused and the defacto complainant. The first and second petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the de-facto complainant and the third and fourth petitioners are sister-in-laws of the defacto complainant. The petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged in the complaint.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent would submit that there was matrimonial dispute between the first accused and the defacto complainant. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, petition enquiry was initiated and the same is pending.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against



CRL OP(MD). No.19658 of 2023

WEB COPY

the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residents at Trichy District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Additional Mahila Court, Trichy District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on receipt of summons;



CRL OP(MD). No.19658 of 2023

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
03/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI
TO
1 THE JUDGE,
ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT, TRICHY.

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
CANTONMENT,
TRICHY DISTRICT.



CRL OP(MD). No.19658 of 2023

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.SAKTHIVEL, Advocate (SR-15975[I] dated 06/11/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19658 of 2023
Date :03/11/2023

SA/DD/SAR. /17.11.2023/5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.