



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.23111 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.12.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.23111 of 2022
and Crl.M.P.(MD).Nos.16401 & 16403 of 2022

1.Ramkumar @ Kumar Pandian
2.Balamurugan
3.Puvaneshwaran

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs.

1.State rep. by
The Sub- Inspector of Police,
Sivagiri Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.89 of 2022)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Sheik Mydeen ... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned Charge Sheet S.C.NO.150/2022 on the file of the 2nd Additional District Judge (PCR Court), Tirunelveli and Quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.Anto Prince

For Respondents : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi for R1
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
Mr.M.Meeran Mytheen for R2



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.23111 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in S.C.No.368 of 2022 on the file of the learned Sub Judge, Sankarankovil, Tirunelveli District.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.89 of 2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 324, 307, 387, 506(ii) of IPC, against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.23111 of 2022

the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash all further proceedings in S.C.No.368 of 2022 pending on the file of the learned Sub Judge, Sankarankovil, Tirunelveli District in respect of the petitioners.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in S.C.No.368 of 2022, pending on the file of the learned Sub Judge, Sankarankovil, Tirunelveli District is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners alone. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

18.12.2023



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.23111 of 2022

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
TM

To

- 1.The Sub Judge, Sankarankovil, Tirunelveli District.
- 2.The Sub- Inspector of Police,
Sivagiri Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.23111 of 2022

G.ILANGOVAN,J.

TM

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.23111 of 2022

18.12.2023