



W.P.(MD)Nos.17168 and 17274 of 2017

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 28.11.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P.(MD)Nos.17168 and 17274 of 2017

and

W.M.P.(MD)Nos.13739, 13740, 13829 and 13830 of 2017

K.Swaminathan

... Petitioner in both W.Ps.

versus

1. The Chairman,
TANGEDCO,
Chennai.

2. The Chief Engineer,
Thermal/Gas Turbine Projects,
Chennai.

3. The Superintending Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Valudhur Thermal/Gas Turbine Power Stations,
Valudhur, Valandharavai (BPO),
Ramanthapuram – 623 536.

... Respondents in both W.Ps.

Prayer in W.P.(MD)No.17168 of 2017: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to



W.P.(MD)Nos.17168 and 17274 of 2017

the impugned E-tender notification issued by the 3rd respondent in Tender Specification No.SE/VGTPS/RMD/W.C.No.54/2017-18 dated 29.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents to issue fresh e-tender notification with BQR (Bid Qualification Requirements) for the same allotted work as mentioned in the Notification.

Prayer in W.P.(MD)No.17274 of 2017: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned E-tender notification issued by the 3rd respondent in Tender Specification No.SE/VGTPS/RMD/W.C.No.54/2017-18 dated 29.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents to issue fresh e-tender notification with BQR (Bid Qualification Requirements) for the same allotted work as mentioned in the Notification.

For Petitioner in
both W.Ps. : Mr.A.Anbalagan

For Respondents : Mr.S.Deenadhayalan,
in both W.Ps. Standing Counsel

COMMON ORDER

These writ petitions have been filed challenging the tender notification of the year 2017.



W.P.(MD)Nos.17168 and 17274 of 2017

WEB COPY

2. Today, when the matters are taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the prayer sought for in these writ petitions have become infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect.

3. In view of the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, these writ petitions are dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

28.11.2023

ogy

NCC : Yes / No.

Index : Yes / No.

Internet : Yes / No.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)Nos.17168 and 17274 of 2017

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

To

1. The Chairman,
TANGEDCO,
Chennai.
2. The Chief Engineer,
Thermal/Gas Turbine Projects,
Chennai.
3. The Superintending Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Valudhur Thermal/Gas Turbine Power Stations,
Valudhur, Valandharavai (BPO),
Ramanthapuram – 623 536.

W.P.(MD)Nos.17168 and 17274 of 2017

28.11.2023