



CRL OP(MD). No.20097 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21/11/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.20097 of 2023

Karthick @ Devendharan,

... Petitioner/ Accused No.4

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Peraiyur Police Station,
Madurai District
Crime No. 174 of 2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s. Rajesh.R, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,

Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 174 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent



CRL OP(MD). No.20097 of 2023

police for the offences punishable under section Born Baby Male missing @ Section 370(4) IPC and 80, 81 of Juvenile Justice Act, 2015 in Crime No.174 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant who is working as a Staff Nurse has given a complaint that one Priyadharashini delivered male baby with illegal pregnancy and she was sent to Rajaji Government Rajaji Hospital for further treatment, but, without informing to the hospital authorities she left from the place. When the defacto complainant enquired the same, the said Priyadharshini not answered properly. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that only on suspicion the petitioner herein was arrayed as accused and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that it is a case of born baby boy missing and on suspicion the petitioner herein was arrayed as accused.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme

Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.20097 of 2023

and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged offence against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner is having permanent resident at Erode District. In this circumstances, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned District Judicial Magistrate, Peraiyur, Madurai District on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand



CRL OP(MD). No.20097 of 2023

dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
21/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.20097 of 2023

WEB COPY

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PERAIYUR, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 2 DO THROUGH:
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PERAIYUR POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.20097 of 2023

Date :21/11/2023

PKP/DD/SAR- /30.11.2023/ 5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023