



CRL OP(MD)No.19360 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12.12.2023

PRESENT

The Hon'ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.19360 of 2023

R.Kannan

... Petitioner/ Accused Rank Not Known

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Nilakkottai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
In Cr.No.Not Known of 2023

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.KRISHNASAMY, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.R.SURESH KUMAR,
Government Advocate(Crl.side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.Not Known of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 420 IPC in Crime No.Not Known of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis> 2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein borrowed 20



CRL OP(MD)No.19360 of 2023

sovereigns of jewels from the defacto complainant to meet his expenses. Thereafter, he failed to repay the jewels. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, an enquiry was initiated and in the enquiry, the petitioner herein gave a statement that he will return the jewels on or before 28.12.2023.

5.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residence at Dindigul District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-



CRL OP(MD)No.19360 of 2023

WEB COPY

trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6.Considering the above facts and considering the statement given by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakottai, Dindigul District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD)No.19360 of 2023

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
12/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/01/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III/ IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS
TO
1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NILAKKOTTAI, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NILAKKOTTAI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.



CRL OP(MD)No.19360 of 2023

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19360 of 2023
Date :12/12/2023

SA/VR/SAR. /04.01.2024/5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.