



CRL OP(MD). No.19274 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 28/11/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.19274 of 2023

Suriyaprakash

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
AWPS - Orathanadu,
Thanjavur District.
Cr.No.4/2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Pitchai Muthu, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Sureshkumar, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 4/2023 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 417 and 376 IPC in Crime No.4 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on promising to marry the defacto



CRL OP(MD). No.19274 of 2023

WEB COPY

complainant, the petitioner had sexual intercourse with the defacto complainant, but, subsequently he married another woman. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted with an intention to cheat the defacto complainant, the petitioner herein had sexual intercourse with the defacto complainant, but, subsequently, he cheated her. He would further submit that investigation of the case is pending and hence, strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that the petitioner had sexual intercourse with the defacto complainant on promising to marry her and subsequently, cheated her.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner



CRL OP(MD). No.19274 of 2023

WEB COPY

is having permanent residents at Thanjavur District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Orathanadu, Thanjavur District on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during



CRL OP(MD). No.19274 of 2023

investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-

28/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

trp
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ORATHANADU, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
ORATHANADU, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

<https://www.mmc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19274 of 2023

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.19274 of 2023

Date :28/11/2023

RS/SKN/SAR-(06.12.2023) 5P 5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023